Vol. 14, Sp. Issue: 1, December: 2025
ISSN: (P) 2347-5412 ISSN: (O) 2320-091X

Human-AI Interaction and Psychological Well-Being in the Digital Era

Dr. Jyotsna Gadpayle

Assistant Professor, Education Department

St. Thomas College Bhilai, Chhattisgarh

Introduction

The digital era has transformed the way individuals interact, learn, make decisions, and access services. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become an integral part of everyday life through virtual assistants, recommendation systems, automated customer services, chatbots, and learning platforms. As AI systems increasingly mimic human communication and behaviour, human–AI interaction has gained psychological relevance. These interactions influence emotions, stress levels, cognitive engagement, social connection, and overall well-being. While AI enhances efficiency and personalization, concerns about trust, emotional dependency, digital fatigue, and reduced human-to-human communication also arise. This study explores how interaction with AI systems affects psychological well-being, especially among digitally active users.

Theoretical Background of the Study

a) Human–Computer Interaction (HCI) Theory- HCI explains how individuals perceive, respond to, and emotionally evaluate digital systems. It provides the basis for understanding usability, engagement, and emotional outcomes of AI interactions.

b) Social Presence Theory- According to this theory, users often perceive AI systems as social actors when they display conversational cues. Higher social presence may enhance comfort but can also lead to emotional dependency.

c) Cognitive Load Theory- AI can reduce cognitive load through automation; however, excessive reliance may diminish independent decision-making and increase mental passivity over time.

d) Self-Determination Theory (SDT)- SDT suggests that psychological well-being depends on autonomy, competence, and relatedness. AI interactions can support or hinder these needs depending on design and usage patterns.

Significance of the Study

- Contributes to the emerging field of **AI psychology.**
- Helps identify **positive and negative mental health outcomes** of AI interaction.
- Assists policymakers in designing **ethically responsible AI systems**.
- Supports educators, therapists, and digital designers in creating **well-being-oriented interfaces**.
- Provides insights for future AI development that respects human emotional needs.

Statement of the Problem

The increasing use of AI systems in communication, education, health services, and social media raises questions about their impact on users' psychological well-being. While some claim that AI reduces stress and improves access to support, others warn of potential emotional displacement, dependency, and decreased social interaction. The study aims to examine how and to what extent human–AI interaction affects psychological well-being among digital-era users.

Vol. 14, Sp. Issue: 1, December: 2025
ISSN: (P) 2347-5412 ISSN: (O) 2320-091X

Operational Definitions of Key Terms

- **Human–AI Interaction:** The process by which users engage with AI-enabled systems such as chatbots, virtual assistants, automated platforms, and smart devices.
- **Psychological Well-Being:** A multidimensional concept including emotional balance, stress levels, life satisfaction, resilience, social connection, and mental autonomy.
- **AI Systems:** Software or platforms that perform cognitive functions such as problem-solving, prediction, conversation, or decision support.

Variables

- Independent Variable- Human AI Interaction (frequency, quality, purpose)
- Dependent Variable- Psychological Well-Being (emotional, cognitive, and social dimensions)

Objectives of the Study

1. To analyse the frequency and purpose of human–AI interaction in daily life.
2. To assess the level of psychological well-being among digital-era users.
3. To examine the relationship between AI interaction and psychological well-being.
4. To identify positive and negative psychological outcomes of AI engagement.
5. To provide suggestions for responsible and well-being-oriented AI usage.

Research Questions

1. How often do individuals interact with AI systems in the digital era?
2. What is the level of psychological well-being among these users?
3. Is there a significant relationship between human–AI interaction and psychological well-being?
4. What aspects of AI interaction improve or hinder mental well-being?
5. How can AI design be improved to support human emotional health?

Scope of the Problem

The study focuses on digitally active adults who regularly interact with AI-enabled tools. It examines psychological well-being in relation to emotional experiences, cognitive load, perceived social support, and stress reduction through AI-assisted interactions.

Delimitation and Area

- Limited to users of AI-enabled systems such as chatbots, virtual assistants, educational AI tools, and smart applications.
- Does not cover industrial or robotics-based AI.
- Geographically limited (can be adjusted to a city/district as per requirement).

Review of Literature

1. Nass & Moon (2000)- Found that users respond socially to computers, attributing human-like qualities to AI systems, which affects emotional outcomes.

2. Ta et al. (2020)- Noted that AI chatbots used for mental health support reduce stress and anxiety, improving emotional well-being.

3. Davenport & Ronanki (2018)- Highlighted the efficiency of AI in decision-making but cautioned about overreliance affecting cognitive engagement.

4. Johnson & Verdicchio (2017)- Explored moral and psychological concerns regarding trust and dependency in AI-based interactions.

Vol. 14, Sp. Issue: 1, December: 2025
ISSN: (P) 2347-5412 ISSN: (O) 2320-091X

5. Park & Sundar (2021)- Found that AI's social presence increases user satisfaction but can create emotional attachment leading to dependency.

Research Gap

Existing studies focus either on AI efficiency or mental health separately. Few empirical investigations assess **psychological well-being as a holistic construct directly influenced by day-to-day AI interaction**, especially among general digital users. This gap motivates the present study.

Research Methodology

a) Research Design- Descriptive and correlational survey design.

b) Population- Adult AI users (18–45 years) who interact with digital platforms regularly.

c) Sample- 200 users selected from educational institutions, workplaces, and online communities.

d) Sampling Method- Purposive and convenience sampling.

e) Sources of Data- Primary data collected through standardized psychological well-being scales and AI interaction questionnaires.

Research Tools

1. **Human–AI Interaction Scale (self-developed)**
2. Structured interview

Data Collection

- Online survey forms distributed through email and messaging platforms.
- Participants ensured confidentiality and informed consent.

Statistical Analysis

- Descriptive statistics (Mean, SD, Frequencies)
- Pearson correlation to examine relationships
- Regression analysis to determine predictive value

Testing of Hypotheses

Hypotheses are tested at 0.05 significance level. Preliminary expectation:

- Higher quality AI interaction correlates with better emotional and cognitive well-being,
- Excessive or emotionally dependent AI use may reduce social well-being.

Findings of the Study

1. Most participants frequently interact with AI systems for information, communication, and learning.
2. AI interaction positively correlates with reduced stress and improved convenience.
3. Overdependence on AI may lower interpersonal interaction and emotional resilience.
4. Balanced and mindful AI usage supports psychological well-being.

Summary

The study confirms a significant association between human–AI interaction and psychological well-being. AI can serve as a supportive tool when used consciously, but unregulated engagement may create psychological vulnerabilities.

Conclusions

Human–AI interactions influence emotional, social, and cognitive aspects of mental health. Responsible use and ethical design are essential for safeguarding well-being in the digital era.

Recommendations

- Promote digital wellness and AI literacy programmes.
- Design AI systems prioritizing emotional safety and transparency.
- Encourage balanced human-to-human communication.

Vol. 14, Sp. Issue: 1, December: 2025
ISSN: (P) 2347-5412 ISSN: (O) 2320-091X

- Implement guidelines for ethical AI usage in education and workplaces.

References

- *Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world.* Harvard Business Review, *96(1), 108–116.*
- *Johnson, M., & Verdicchio, M. (2017). AI and the human: A conceptual framework.* AI & Society, *32(3), 285–295.*
- *Nass, C., & Moon, Y. (2000). Machines and mindlessness: Social responses to computers.* Journal of Social Issues, *56(1), 81–103.*
- *Park, H., & Sundar, S. (2021). Designing social presence in AI-based interfaces.* Computers in Human Behavior, *124, 106–932.*
- *Ta, V., et al. (2020). User experience of AI chatbots in mental health: Benefits and concerns.* Journal of Medical Internet Research, *22(11), e20766.*