

Reimagining Teaching and Learning: The Journey of Flipped Classrooms Across Educational Systems

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63345/ijre.v15.i10.2>

Ms. Anshul Gupta

Research Scholar, N.A.S College, , Meerut, U.P

Rajive Kumar

Professor, Department of Education, N.A.S. College, Meerut, U.P

Abstract — The rapid development of technology and the increasing focus on student-centred learning have changed educational practices worldwide. One of the innovative pedagogical approaches that have gained prominence in recent years is the flipped classroom model which is a significant development in contemporary education. The flipped classroom is the inverse of the traditional model of instruction where the majority of the classroom time is spent lecturing and delivering content. Instruction is instead delivered outside of the classroom and classroom time is used for active learning, collaboration and problem-solving activities. In this paper the historical development of flipped classroom learning, its theoretical bases, application in different educational systems and anticipated educational outcomes and challenges are discussed. The study talks about the practices of education worldwide and points out the revolutionary impact of flipped learning on the teaching and learning processes which result in better student engagement, autonomy and academic achievements. The paper concludes that flipped learning has evolved from a simple teaching strategy to a flexible evidence-based pedagogical approach that is able to meet the changing needs of the twenty-first century learners. The use of new technologies and learner-centred strategies in educational institutions provides a workable framework to increase teaching efficiency and encourage lifelong learning through flipped learning.

Keywords: Flipped classroom, student-centred learning, educational technology, active learning, pedagogical innovation, and digital education.

1. Introduction

Education has always been adapted to changes in society, technology and the economy. The traditional educational system was highly dependent on teacher-centred instruction in which teachers were the main knowledge providers and students were passive and acted as receivers of information (Freeman et al, 2014). However, with the emergence of digital technologies and constructivist learning theories, the traditional teaching approaches have been contested, and thus required educators to adopt more interactive and learner-centred approaches (Abeysekera & Dawson, 2015). An example of this innovation is the flipped classroom model, which has attracted considerable attention in schools, colleges and universities around the world (Bishop & Verleger, 2013). The flipped classroom is a reverse order of instruction where information is disseminated outside of class via videos, online lectures, readings, and digital materials (Bergmann & Sams, 2012). Classroom time is then used for discussions, collaborative work, hands-on activities, and higher-order thinking activities (Akçayır & Akçayır, 2018).

The idea has changed the educational environments by encouraging active participation, personalized instruction and

increased interaction between teachers and students (O'Flaherty & Phillips, 2015). Schools and colleges have been looking for ways to improve learning outcomes and serve a diverse range of learners. The flipped classroom has become a promising pedagogical framework (Abeysekera & Dawson, 2015). The paper discusses the progress, theoretical background, use across the education system, outcomes, challenges and future of the path of flipped classroom in educational system.

1.1 Flipped Learning – Historical Background

The roots of flipped learning can be found in wider educational reform movements that prioritised active learning and student engagement. The flipped classroom came into the lexicon in the early twenty-first century but the ideas behind it had been around long before. Educational theorist John Dewey promoted learning by doing and highlighted the importance of students involvement in the construction of knowledge (Dewey, 1938). Later constructivist theorists, including Jean Piaget and Lev Vygotsky, contributed to the establishment of the notion that learners actively construct understanding through interaction and experience (Piaget & Inhelder, 1969; Vygotsky, 1978). The modern flipped classroom movement started around 2007, when high school chemistry teachers Jonathan Bergmann and Aaron Sams began videotaping lectures for students who missed class (Bergmann & Sams, 2012). They found that having students view instructional videos before class allowed them to use class time to meet individual needs and do interactive activities. Their method has been popularized and used by teachers all over the world (Bishop & Verleger, 2013). Additionally, the growth of online learning platforms, learning management systems, video sharing websites, and digital communication technologies has played a role in the increased adoption of flipped learning (Akçayır & Akçayır, 2018). The COVID-19 pandemic also played a major role in the dissemination of the model as educational institutions had to

adopt nimble and technology-enhanced pedagogies to secure the continuity of learning (Divjak et al., 2022).

1.2 Theoretical Foundations of the Flipped Classroom Learning

The theoretical basis of the flipped classroom is grounded in some strong pedagogical and psychological frameworks.

1.2.1 Theory of Constructivism

One of the most powerful theoretical underpinnings of flipped learning is constructivism. According to Piaget (1972) learners construct their own knowledge through interaction with the environment rather than by receiving information from teachers. People learn when they connect new information to their existing cognitive structures, and they improve their understanding through reflection and experience. The flipped classroom exemplifies constructivist principles, with students encountering basic content before coming to class. Students in the classroom engage with ideas through discussions, projects and team work to analyze and assess. This change transforms the student from a passive recipient of information into an active participant in the construction of knowledge.

1.2.2 Social Constructivism

Vygotsky (1978) proposed social constructivism theory which suggests that learning happens through social interaction and shared meaning making. The Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) is a concept which states that learners are able to achieve deeper levels of understanding when assisted by teachers, peers or by use of technological tools. Lecturing does not take up the classroom time, so flipped learning provides opportunities for collaboration. Instead, students engage in discussions, peer teaching, group problem solving and project based learning. These interactions help you to develop your communication and teamwork skills and to deepen your learning.

1.2.3 Updated Bloom's Taxonomy

There is a strong instructional rationale for flipped learning within Bloom's Taxonomy (Bloom, 1956; Anderson & Krathwohl, 2001). Low-order cognitive processes such as remembering and understanding often take up valuable instructional time in traditional classrooms. Students are often given higher order tasks such as analysis, evaluation and creation as homework with very little guidance. Flip teaching does the opposite. Students review introductory content outside of class so that class time can be used to apply concepts, solve problems, evaluate evidence, and generate new knowledge. This alignment enables educators to scaffold learners through the most cognitively demanding stages of learning.

1.2.4 Self-Directed Learning Theory

Good learners take responsibility for planning, monitoring and evaluating their learning processes (Knowles, 1975). Self-directed learning is increasingly important in today's educational systems which focus on learner autonomy and lifelong learning. Flipped classrooms motivate students to learn independently as they are required to prepare for the lessons ahead of time. Instructors deliver content to learners when, where and how they want it. Such flexibility can help students manage time, self-regulation and develop metacognitive awareness.

1.2.5 Connectivism

As education becomes more digital, Connectivism has been suggested as an appropriate learning theory for digitally supported learning environments. Knowledge is found in networks of people, information systems and digital resources (Siemens, 2005). So learning isn't so much about being taught in the traditional way, but about tapping into different sources of information.

1.3 Flipped Learning Implementation in Education Systems

The flipped learning approach, originally designed as a new classroom strategy, has become a globally recognized pedagogical model that is applied in a wide variety of educational contexts. The flexibility enables educators to adjust teaching practices in accordance with the learners' age, the subject matter, institutional goals, and technological infrastructure. The availability of digital technologies, cloud-based learning platforms and online educational resources have led to the adoption of flipped learning in school education, higher education, vocational education and blended learning environments. The use of flipped learning is part of a larger movement away from teacher-centered instruction to learner-centered learning that requires students to actively participate in knowledge construction through collaboration, inquiry, and problem solving (Bergmann & Sams, 2012).

1.3.1 Flipped Learning in School Education

Flipped learning in primary and secondary education has attracted much attention due to its ability to improve students' engagement, classroom participation, and academic achievement. Direct instruction is often used in traditional school classrooms. Most of the time in class teachers lecture students while students do their homework on their own. Flipped learning turns this process on its head: students receive the instructional content before class, via age-appropriate videos, digital presentations, interactive animations, podcasts or teacher-produced learning modules.

Teachers design classroom activities that encourage discussion, inquiry, cooperative learning, experiments, games and project-based tasks. In this redesign of instruction, teachers could provide personalized support while students are engaged in the active application of concepts instead of passively listening to lectures (Lo & Hew, 2017).

Studies have reported positive effects of flipped learning on learning outcomes in mathematics, science and language teaching and social sciences. Students' ability to review instructional examples as many times as needed to understand difficult concepts through mathematics education reduces classroom anxiety and builds confidence in problem-solving exercises. Then during class time students work together to solve complex numerical problems with the teacher there to guide them.

Flipped instruction is also highly beneficial to science education as theory is presented before laboratory work. Students come to the lab ready to experiment, freeing the teacher to concentrate on the scientific inquiry, hypothesis testing, observation and analysis, not the explanation of basic concepts. This method improves conceptual understanding and scientific reasoning and process skills (Freeman et al., 2014).

Language learning has also benefited from flipped classrooms. Students can watch grammar lessons, vocabulary presentations or pronunciation exercises before they come to class, while classroom activities focus on speaking, listening, peer interaction, debates, role-plays and collaborative writing. These activities develop communicative competence and active use of language. These are not learning by rote.

The flipped classroom also encourages inclusive education, through different learning styles. Students who need more help can pause, rewind, or review the instructional videos at their own speed, while the more advanced students can move ahead on their own with the enrichment materials. Therefore, flipped learning encourages differentiated instruction and justice in education. However, successful implementation in school education requires sufficient parental support, teacher training, age appropriate digital resources and reliable technological infrastructure. Specifically, the direct involvement of parents in the pre-class learning process and the thoughtful creation of

engaging classroom activities by teachers are particularly beneficial for the development of younger learners.

1.3.2 Inverted Learning for Higher Education

The flipped learning model has been widely adopted by institutions of higher education to focus on critical thinking, research skills, innovation and the employability of graduates. The flipped classroom approach has been used in undergraduate, post-graduate and professional education programmes around the world, in universities in disciplines such as medicine, engineering, business administration, teacher education, nursing, pharmacy, law and social sciences (Bishop & Verleger, 2013).

The flipped classroom model is especially advantageous for the complex course loads experienced in higher education. Students learn theory independently through recorded lectures, journal articles, simulations and multimedia before class. Classroom time is devoted to seminars, case studies, group projects, lab work, presentations, debates and research discussions.

Medical education has been one of the most successful domains of flipped learning. Students will learn anatomy, physiology, pathology and pharmacology through the use of digital learning resources prior to practical laboratory sessions or clinical discussions. In face-to-face classes, faculty facilitate case analysis, diagnostic reasoning, treatment planning, and collaborative clinical decision making. Studies have shown that this type of teaching improves clinical reasoning, communication skills, and the ability to retain knowledge over time (Persky & McLaughlin, 2017).

Flipped learning also has advantages for engineering education, as it allows for the introduction of theoretical engineering principles prior to class meetings. In class, we work on design projects, coding exercises, lab investigations, build prototypes,

and team up on engineering challenges. Students develop their analytical reasoning and problem-solving skills with instant feedback from the instructor.

Business schools are increasingly adopting flipped learning for their case study analysis, entrepreneurial simulations, financial modelling, marketing projects and management decision-making exercises. In the lectures students do not memorize the theoretical concepts, but apply the business theories to real organizational situations.

Furthermore, flipped learning has been integrated into teacher education programmes to prepare future educators for digital age classrooms. Pre-service teachers experience learner-centred instruction in developing skills in instructional design, educational technology, classroom management and reflective teaching practices.

Universities that have adopted flipped learning have often reported increased attendance, participation, academic performance, learner satisfaction and independent learning skills. But successful implementation depends on institutional commitment, technological infrastructure, faculty development and effective instructional design.

1.3.3 Flipped Learning in Vocational and Professional Training

The flipped classroom has also found broader acceptance in professional and vocational education, emphasizing practical skills and competency-based training. In traditional vocational education, students' time in the classroom is usually filled with theoretical instruction, and there is little opportunity for practical work. This limitation is addressed by flipped learning that moves theoretical learning outside the classroom and maximizes opportunities for experiential learning during face-to-face instruction.

Students in vocational programmes like nursing, hospitality management, information technology, automobile engineering, construction technology, agriculture and industrial training complete digital learning modules before attending practical workshops. These resources include instructional videos, technical demonstrations, virtual simulations, safety guidelines and interactive tutorials. The theory is followed up by workshop sessions where the theory is applied in practice: equipment handling, practical demonstrations, laboratory exercises, simulations, group projects and on-the-job problem-solving activities. Instructors provide immediate feedback as learners develop technical skills necessary for professional practice.

Similarly, flipped learning has been taken up in corporate training programmes to enhance employee development. An increasing number of organisations are using online learning platforms to deliver theoretical content ahead of workshops, seminars, leadership programmes or technical skill development sessions. Employees show up ready to participate in interactive discussions and application practice leading to more efficient training and lower instruction costs. Flipped learning also encourages lifelong learning by giving working professionals the opportunity to engage in education at their convenience, making professional development more accessible and sustainable.

1.3.4 Flipping Learning in Online & Blended Learning

With the increase in online and blended learning, flipped learning is very relevant in education today. Blended learning is a model that combines digital learning experiences with face-to-face instruction. Flipped learning is one of the most effective pedagogical approaches of blended learning. Asynchronously, students engage with online instructional materials before participating in synchronous class discussions, collaborative learning activities, or virtual seminars (Garrison & Kanuka, 2004).

The Covid-19 pandemic accelerated the worldwide implementation of flipped learning as educational institutions looked for innovative ways to sustain instructional continuity during long-term school closures. Many schools and universities resorted to asynchronous video lectures, digital assignments, discussion forums and virtual learning environments, keeping synchronous online sessions for collaborative learning, peer interaction and instructor feedback (OECD, 2021). Modern Learning Management Systems such as Moodle, Google Classroom, Canvas and Microsoft Teams support flipped learning by bringing together video lectures, quizzes, assignments, discussion boards, learning analytics and communication tools in one digital environment. Students can monitor their learning progress while instructors may evaluate engagement data to provide timely academic support.

Online flipped learning has been further improved by recent advances in artificial intelligence, adaptive learning platforms, virtual laboratories and learning analytics. Intelligent tutoring systems offer personalized instructional advice, automated formative feedback and adaptive testing based on learner performance. These technologies have the potential to support personalized instruction and to allow educators to make data-informed instructional decisions.

The hybrid model of education will be a permanent part of the education system after the pandemic. And in the process, flipped learning is gradually gaining acceptance as a sustainable mode of instruction that combines the flexibility of online education with the benefits of collaborative face-to-face learning. In light of the ongoing digital transformation of educational institutions, flipped learning will play an increasingly important role in fostering personalized, competence-oriented and technology-enhanced education in diverse educational environments.

1.4 Recommendations for Flipped Learning Implementation

To successfully implement the flipped learning, a well-planned strategy involving teachers, students, educational institutions and policymakers is required. The flipped classroom model has shown promising benefits in improving learner engagement and academic performance, but its success depends on thoughtful instructional design, adequate technology infrastructure and ongoing training and support for teachers and learners.

One of the most important requirements is the readiness of teachers. Continuous professional development and training of educators on digital pedagogy, instructional design and effective use of educational technologies are necessary. Teachers need to know how to build engaging pre-class learning materials, run collaborative classroom activities, and use formative assessment strategies to monitor student progress. Teachers should be facilitators and not just lecturers who facilitate discussions, encourage inquiry and provide individualized support according to the learning needs of students.

Schools also have a big role to play in creating a conducive environment for flipped learning. Schools and universities need to invest in robust digital infrastructure, including high-speed internet, learning management systems, multimedia classrooms, and digital educational resources. High quality instructional videos, interactive simulations, virtual laboratories and open educational resources can markedly enhance the pre-class learning experience of students. Institutions should also put in place technological support systems to assist teachers and students with technological problems.

Another key factor for successful implementation of flipped learning is student readiness. This method requires students to study the instructional materials on their own before coming to class. As such, students should develop self-directed learning habits, effective time management skills and digital literacy skills. Teachers must be explicit about their expectations for

pre-class work and must encourage students to participate in collaborative activities during class. By creating a positive learning environment that fosters curiosity, reflection, and continuous growth, students' motivation and accountability for their own learning can be increased.

Parents and families too can help flipped learning to work, particularly in school education. A supportive learning environment and encouraging students to do pre-class learning at home can increase student participation and engagement.

Moreover, it is important for educational institutions to conduct ongoing assessment to measure the effectiveness of flipped learning. Regularly collect feedback from students, teachers, and administrators to identify strengths, challenges, and opportunities for improvement. Evidence-based evaluation of such practices can help institutions fine-tune instructional practices and ensure the long-term sustainability of flipped learning initiatives.

To summarize, successful implementation needs cooperation from all stakeholders, continuous professional development, technological readiness, and a dedication to creating learner-centered educational environments that encourage active participation and deep learning.

1.5 Educational Outcomes of Flipped Learning

The widespread use of flipped learning has the potential to revolutionise educational practices, leading to more engaging, flexible and learner-centred classrooms. Flipped learning promotes active learning, collaboration, inquiry and problem solving in the classroom and improves the quality of teaching and the overall learning experience unlike traditional lecture style teaching.

A major and expected outcome is improved academic performance. Students may review the learning materials at

their own pace before class and review difficult topics as many times as they need to for their own individual learning styles. This freedom gives learners a better conceptual understanding before they discuss in class and do practical work. This results in more productive classroom time and application of knowledge, not just learning the information.

Higher order thinking skills are fostered by the flipped classroom methodology. Classroom activities such as discussions, debates, case studies, project based learning, laboratory investigations and collaborative problem solving motivate students to analyze information, evaluate alternative solutions and generate innovative ideas. These learning experiences foster the development of critical thinking, creativity and decision making skills which are essential to academic success and future employment.

Another important outcome is the increase in student engagement and excitement. Active involvement of learners in classroom activities makes the learning process more engaging for them as compared to passively listening to lectures. Interactive learning experiences can help to build student confidence, encourage peer collaboration and improve communication skills. Also, students are getting immediate feedback from the teachers which helps them to overcome their learning difficulties more effectively.

Flipped learning helps to develop skills of self-direction and lifelong learning. Students are more responsible for planning, monitoring and evaluating their own learning. They learn important skills such as time management, self-control, digital literacy and reflection. These skills equip learners to navigate changing learning and work environments where ongoing learning is increasingly necessary.

Flipped learning allows teachers to get a better grasp of what students need to learn. During this time, teachers can observe students as they participate, identify misconceptions, provide

personalized help, and encourage collaborative learning instead of lecturing for the whole period. This type of change enhances the teacher-student relationship and fosters a more supportive and inclusive classroom environment.

At the institutional level, the flipped learning implementation can be a driver of the curriculum innovation, contributing to the quality of the teaching and a deeper integration of the educational technologies. It also supports competency based education by facilitating the development of communication, collaboration, digital competence and problem solving skills along with academic knowledge.

1.6 Limitations and Future Scope

Flipped learning has many advantages, but it also has its limitations. The successful use of this instructional approach depends upon a range of educational, technological and institutional factors, which may vary across different learning environments.

The digital divide is one big challenge. Not all students have access to reliable internet connectivity, digital devices or suitable learning environments at home. These inequities could also compromise the students' capacity to meaningfully interact with pre-class learning materials and therefore, the overall efficiency of flipped instruction. Educational institutions should therefore consider strategies that promote equitable access to digital resources for all learners.

Teacher preparedness is another area of concern. Developing quality instructional videos, interactive learning activities and ongoing assessments requires more time, technical skill and instructional planning. Teachers new to digital technologies may find it difficult at first to make the transition from traditional teaching to flipped learning. Thus, successful implementation depends on ongoing professional development and institutional support.

Another possible limitation is student motivation. The flipped model only works if students engage in the pre-class learning activities on their own. Also, students who fail to prepare in advance for class may struggle with participating in collaborative learning activities. Therefore, teachers should use strategies that encourage accountability, such as short pre-class quizzes, reflective journals or assessments based on participation.

Another issue is the way we assess things. Traditional exams tend to test memory and recall of facts, whereas flipped learning focuses on critical thinking, working together, creativity and problem solving. This could mean that educational institutions will need to redesign assessment approaches to more effectively evaluate higher-order learning outcomes.

Research has shown positive effects of flipped learning on academic achievement and student engagement but most of the existing literature has concentrated on short-term results. Further longitudinal studies should be done to examine its long term impact on learner autonomy, digital competence, critical thinking and lifelong learning. Further comparative studies across countries, educational levels and subjects would improve the understanding of the effectiveness of flipped learning in various settings.

Future research should also explore the incorporation of emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, adaptive learning systems, learning analytics, virtual reality, augmented reality and immersive learning environments in flipped classrooms. Exploring these innovations can provide new ways to make learning experiences more personalised, inclusive and engaging. Such investigations will assist flipped learning to continue evolving and contribute towards the development of future-ready education systems that are capable of meeting the demands of a rapidly changing digital world.

1.7 Conclusion

The development of flipped learning is in line with a broader trend in education from a teacher-centred approach to a learner-centred, technology-supported and competency-based learning (Bergmann & Sams, 2012; Bishop & Verleger, 2013). Initially conceived as a novel approach to reimagine lectures and assignments, Flipped Classroom has developed into an approach that could promote critical thinking, team work, active learning and learner autonomy in different educational contexts (Lo & Hew, 2017; Zainuddin et al., 2019). Furthermore, the flipped classroom model has been made more flexible, inclusive and responsive to the needs of twenty-first century learners by digital technology.

This paper presents a brief overview of the theoretical framework, implementation, historical development, recommendations, educational contributions and challenges of flipped learning. It demonstrates how flipped learning has developed into a successful teaching method that employs digital technology to foster critical thinking, active participation, learner independence, collaborative learning, and meaningful engagement. Flipped learning holds the potential to foster deeper understanding and enhance learning outcomes across various educational contexts by removing direct instruction from the classroom and dedicating classroom time to interactive learning activities.

Research has shown that flipped learning has important implications for teacher education, higher education and school education. It helps teachers develop more personalized, competency-based, student-centered learning environments that foster continued reflection and self-directed learning. Flipped learning has the potential to improve the quality of teaching and students' outcomes at the institutional level through curricular innovation, faculty development and effective educational technologies.

However flipped learning has huge potential, the successful implementation relies on a number of criteria such as fair access to digital resources, institutional support, student enthusiasm, teacher preparedness and technological infrastructure. Further research should also continue to examine its effectiveness across a range of educational settings, disciplines and learner groups, using experimental, mixed method and longitudinal studies. Future research should explore new concerns such as artificial intelligence in education, learning analytics, digital inclusion, data privacy and ethical technology use (Holmes et al., 2022; UNESCO, 2021) to ensure flipped learning remains successful, inclusive and sustainable.

In the end, flipped learning is a learner-centred philosophy, not an instructional model, that addresses the changing needs of education in the 21st century. Digital technologies are changing education. Flipped learning is an evidence-based approach that is flexible and can be used by teachers and educational institutions to develop critical thinking, teamwork, self-directed learning and lifelong learning. Its ongoing development promises to revolutionise the way we teach and prepare students to thrive in a complex, interconnected, knowledge-based society.

References

1. Abeysekera, L., & Dawson, P. (2015). Motivation and cognitive load in the flipped classroom: Definition, rationale and a call for research. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 34(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2014.934336>
2. Akçayır, G., & Akçayır, M. (2018). The flipped classroom: A review of its advantages and challenges. *Computers & Education*, 126, 334–345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.07.021>
3. Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds.). (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. Longman. <https://books.google.com/books?id=JPKXAQAAMAAJ>
4. Anderson, T. (Ed.). (2008). *The theory and practice of online learning* (2nd ed.). AU Press. <https://pressbooks.bccampus.ca/terryanderson/>

5. Bergmann, J., & Sams, A. (2012). Flip your classroom: Reach every student in every class every day. International Society for Technology in Education. <https://iste.org/products/a1w8Z000005n5ZSOAY/Flip-Your-Classroom-Revised-Edition>
6. Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2011). Teaching for quality learning at university (4th ed.). Open University Press. <https://www.mheducation.co.uk/teaching-for-quality-learning-at-university-9780335242757>
7. Bishop, J. L., & Verleger, M. A. (2013). The flipped classroom: A survey of the research. Proceedings of the 120th ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition, Atlanta, GA, 1–18. <https://peer.asee.org/the-flipped-classroom-a-survey-of-the-research>
8. Bloom, B. S. (Ed.). (1956). Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals. Handbook I: Cognitive domain. Longmans, Green. https://archive.org/details/taxonomyofeducat0000bloo_o9o7
9. Brame, C. J. (2013). Flipping the classroom. Vanderbilt University Center for Teaching. <https://cft.vanderbilt.edu/guides-sub-pages/flipping-the-classroom/>
10. Chen, Y., Wang, Y., Kinshuk, & Chen, N. S. (2014). Is FLIP enough? Or should we use the FLIPPED model instead? Computers & Education, 79, 16–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.07.004>
11. Dewey, J. (1938). Experience and education. Macmillan. <https://search.worldcat.org/title/Experience-and-education/oclc/755363>
12. Divjak, B., Rienties, B., Iniesto, F., Vondra, P., & Žižak, M. (2022). Flipped classrooms in higher education during the COVID-19 pandemic: Findings and future research recommendations. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 19, Article 9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00316-4>
13. Flavell, J. H. (1979). Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive-developmental inquiry. American Psychologist, 34(10), 906–911. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.34.10.906>
14. Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111(23), 8410–8415. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319030111>
15. Garrison, D. R., & Kanuka, H. (2004). Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential in higher education. The Internet and Higher Education, 7(2), 95–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2004.02.001>
16. Government of India. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. Ministry of Education. <https://www.education.gov.in>
17. Hew, K. F., & Lo, C. K. (2018). Flipped classroom improves student learning in health professions education: A meta-analysis. BMC Medical Education, 18(1), Article 38. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-018-1144-z>
18. Knowles, M. S. (1975). Self-directed learning: A guide for learners and teachers. Association Press. <https://archive.org/details/selfdirectedlear0000know>
19. Låg, T., & Sæle, R. G. (2019). Does the flipped classroom improve student learning and satisfaction? A systematic review and meta-analysis. AERA Open, 5(3), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2332858419870489>
20. Lo, C. K., & Hew, K. F. (2017). A critical review of flipped classroom challenges in K–12 education. Educational Technology Research and Development, 65(2), 325–343. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-016-9448-0>
21. Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education. Pearson.
22. O’Flaherty, J., & Phillips, C. (2015). The use of flipped classrooms in higher education: A scoping review. The Internet and Higher Education, 25, 85–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2015.02.002>
23. Persky, A. M., & McLaughlin, J. E. (2017). The flipped classroom—From theory to practice in health professional education. American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education, 81(6), Article 118. <https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe816118>
24. Piaget, J., & Inhelder, B. (1969). The psychology of the child (H. Weaver, Trans.). Basic Books. <https://www.hachettebookgroup.com/titles/jean-piaget/the-psychology-of-the-child/9780465095001/>
25. Schön, D. A. (1983). The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action. Basic Books.
26. Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning, 2(1), 3–10. https://edtechbooks.org/education_research/connectivism
27. Strayer, J. F. (2012). How learning in an inverted classroom influences cooperation, innovation and task orientation. Learning Environments Research, 15(2), 171–193. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10984-012-9108-4>
28. United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. United Nations. <https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda>

29. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
<https://www.hup.harvard.edu/books/9780674576292>
30. Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education—Where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16, Article 39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0>
31. Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory Into Practice*, 41(2), 64–70.
https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2

